

# **EXHIBIT MAY-30**

Order Regarding Pro Se Motion-s | May 30, 2025 | Index 205  
EXHIBIT MAY-30

[205\\_Order-Other\\_2025-05-30.pdf](#)  
SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 287bea3f5875762b041897c608d1ea3d0f0f7de4ea9b923f22f5c0808e363a01  
Page: 1 of 2 [ [source file](#) ] [ [.ots timestamp of source file](#) ]

27-CR-23-1886

Filed in District Court  
State of Minnesota  
5/30/2025 5:26 PM

STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT  
HENNEPIN COUNTY FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

---

State of Minnesota,	<b>ORDER REGARDING <i>PRO SE</i> MOTIONS</b>
Plaintiff,	
v.	
Matthew David Guertin,	
Defendant.	MNCIS No. 27-CR-23-1886
	Judge Sarah Hudleston

---

This matter came before the undersigned Judge of District Court on May 7, 2025, on Mr. Guertin’s Emergency Motion to Stay and Vacate April 29, 2025, Rule 20.01 Competency Order (Index No. 90) and Motion to Confirm *Pro Se* Status and Discharge Court Appointed Counsel (Index No. 91). Assistant Hennepin County Attorney Mawerdi Hamid represents the State. Assistant Hennepin County Public Defenders Raissa Carpenter and Emmett Donnelly represent defendant Matthew Guertin.

On April 29, 2025, prior to Mr. Guertin filing the instant motions, the Court ordered a competency evaluation under Minnesota Rule of Criminal Procedure 20.01 and Minnesota Statute Section 611.42. Based on review of Mr. Guertin’s *pro se* Motion to Dismiss (Index No. 131) and associated filings (*see* Index Nos. 131, 134-184), as well as the broader record in the case, the Court found that Mr. Guertin, though extremely intelligent, lacked the ability to rationally consult with counsel. *See* Minn. Stat. § 611.42, subd. 1(1). The Court further determined that it could not accept Mr. Guertin’s Petition to Proceed as *Pro Se* Counsel (Index No. 133). *See* Minn. Stat. § 611.42, subd. 2. Because Mr. Guertin remains represented and

Order Regarding Pro Se Motion-s | May 30, 2025 | Index 205  
EXHIBIT MAY-30

[205 Order-Other 2025-05-30.pdf](#)  
SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 287bea3f5875762b041897c608d1ea3d0f0f7de4ea9b923f22f5c0808e363a01  
Page: 2 of 2 [ [source file](#) ] [ [.ots timestamp of source file](#) ]

27-CR-23-1886

Filed in District Court  
State of Minnesota  
5/30/2025 5:26 PM

defense counsel has not adopted either motion, the Court will not consider these motions  
(Index Nos. 90 and 91).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

Dated: May 30, 2025

\_\_\_\_\_  
SARAH HUDLESTON  
Judge of District Court